Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary  All Participants:  (1) <u>C. SAYALA</u> .  (2) <u>Mr Davis</u> .	10/019,777  Examiner  C. SAYALA  Status of Application:  (3)  (4)  Time:  nt's representative)	BAEKKEN ET AL.  Art Unit  1761
All Participants: (1) <u>C. SAYALA</u> .	C. SAYALA  Status of Application:  (3)  (4)  Time:	1761
(1) <u>C. SAYALA</u> .	Status of Application:  (3)  (4)  Time:	
(1) <u>C. SAYALA</u> .	(3) (4) Time:	
	(4) Time:	
(2) <u>Mr Davis</u> .	Time:	
Date of Interview: 18 May 2004	nt's representative)	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:  N/A		
Claims discussed: 1, 4 and 12		
Prior art documents discussed:  N/A	v	
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
(Examiner/SPE/Signature)/ (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Application No. 10/019,777

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner was authorized to make the change in claim 1as shown in the examiner's amendment, to put it in better form. Applicant was advised that claims 4 and 12 to a raw material product, not necessarily a feed product as claimed, were never presented before and were restrictable by original presentation.